THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

W.P.No.4408 of 1995

ORDER:

The case of the petitioner is that he was appointed as Cook in the Tirumala Tirupati Devasthanams on temporary basis vide Memo dated 24.7.1979 and he is entitled for salary on par with others i.e. in the pay scale of Rs.240-10-420 with retrospective effect i.e. 30.7.1979.

A detailed counter affidavit has been filed by the respondents, wherein it is stated that there are two types of cooks, one is Cook as such, and another is in the cadre of Attender. B.Gangadhara Saastry, was selected and appointed as a Cook in the scale of pay of 165-5-190-6-250 prior to the appointment of the petitioner and M.Krishna, who was previously working as Cook in Yoga Institute, was absorbed into TTD services in the cadre of Attender in the pay scale of Rs.165-5-190-6-250 and also the other categories of posts carrying the scale of pay of Attender. As there is a post of Cook in the cadre of Attender existing then in S.V. Poor Home, the petitioner was selected for the said post and he cannot claim the scale of pay of the cook in the superior cadre i.e. Rs.240-10-420 as a matter of right. However, subsequently, the petitioner was considered for extension of pay scales on par with other cooks. Presently, there is no disparity in the pay scales of Cooks and Head Cooks. The petitioner was appointed in the time scale of Rs.165-250 in the cadre of Attender. His pay was subsequently revised on par with other Cooks and Head Cooks so as to maintain uniformity in the time scales.

It seems, as of now, as per the counter filed by the respondents, there is no disparity in the scales attached to the post of petitioner as well as others whose names were mentioned in the writ petition. It was further stated that the request of the petitioner for revision of his pay

scale pay on par with others in TTD Canteen was already considered by the respondents and there is no need for entertaining the writ petition.

In view of the assertions made by the respondents in the counter affidavit, the cause in the writ petition as of now does not survive.

Therefore, the writ petition is closed. No order as to costs.

_____

C.V.RAMULU, J

Date: 5.9.2006

DA

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

W.P.No.4408 of 1995

5.9.2006

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE OF ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.RAMULU

W.P.No.4408 of 1995

Dated: 5th September, 2006

Between:

B.Devendrudu

.. Petitioner

and

The Executive Officer,
Tirumala Tirupathi Devasthanams,
Tirupati.

.. Respondent